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1. PURPOSE AND SCOPE:

1.1 To enable Council and its communities to identify the degree of significance attached to particular issues, proposals, assets, decisions and activities.

1.2 To provide clarity about how and when communities can expect to be engaged in decisions made by Council.

1.3 To inform Council from the beginning of a decision-making process about the extent, form and type of engagement required.

2. DEFINITIONS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community</td>
<td>A group of people living in the same place or having a particular characteristic in common. Includes interested parties, affected people and key stakeholders.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decisions</td>
<td>Refers to all the decisions made by or on behalf of Council including those made by officers under delegation. Management decisions made by officers under delegation during the implementation of Council decisions will not be deemed to be significant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engagement</td>
<td>Is a term used to describe the process of seeking information from the community to inform and assist decision making. There is a continuum of community involvement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significance</td>
<td>As defined in Section 5 of the Local Government Act 2002 “in relation to any issue, proposal, decision, or other matter that concerns or is before a local authority, means the degree of importance of the issue, proposal, decision, or matter, as assessed by the local authority, in terms of its likely impact on, and likely consequences for,— (a) the district or region; (b) any persons who are likely to be particularly affected by, or interested in, the issue, proposal, decision, or matter; (c) the capacity of the local authority to perform its role, and the financial and other costs of doing so.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significant Activity</td>
<td>The Hauraki District Council significant activities are as follows: i. Roading; ii. Water Supply; iii. Waste Water; iv. Stormwater; v. Land Drainage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategic asset</td>
<td>As defined in Section 5 of the Local Government Act 2002 “in relation to the assets held by a local authority, means an asset or group of assets that the local authority needs to retain if the local authority is to maintain the local authority's capacity to achieve or promote any outcome that the local authority determines to be</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. **POLICY:**

3.1 Engagement with the community is needed to understand the views and preferences of people likely to be affected by or interested in a proposal or decision.

3.2 An assessment of the degree of significance of proposals and decisions, and the appropriate level of engagement, will be considered in the early stages of a proposal before decision making occurs and, if necessary, reconsidered as a proposal develops.

3.3 The Council will take into account the following matters when assessing the degree of significance of proposals and decisions, and the appropriate level of engagement:

i. Whether there is a legal requirement to engage with the community.

ii. The level of financial consequences of the proposal or decision.

iii. Whether the proposal or decision will affect a large portion of the community.

iv. The likely impact on present and future interests of the community, recognising Māori culture values and their relationship to land and water.

v. Whether the proposal affects the level of service of a significant activity.

vi. Whether community interest is high.

vii. Whether the likely consequences are controversial.

viii. Whether community views are already known, including the community's preferences about the form of engagement.

ix. The form of engagement used in the past for similar proposals and decisions.

3.4 If a proposal or decision is affected by a number of the above considerations, it is more likely to have a higher degree of significance.

3.5 When undertaking a process to determine the extent to which proposals and decisions are significant, the Council will use the following thresholds as an initial guide:

i. Individual issues, assets, or other matters that incur more than $1,000,000 in excess of budgeted expenditure, as identified in the Annual Plan and/or Hauraki Long Term Plan; and/or more than $1,000,000 of unbudgeted expenditure.

ii. Any transfer of ownership or control, or abandonment, of a strategic asset as defined by the Local Government Act, 2002 or listed in Part 3.4 of this policy.

iii. A decision that will, directly or indirectly, significantly affect the capacity of the Council to carry out any Activity identified in the adopted Hauraki Long Term Plan.

iv. Entry into any partnership with the private sector to carry out a significant activity.

v. A decision that will trigger a breach of one or more of Council’s Rates Caps as detailed in the Council’s operative financial strategy.
vi. A decision that will trigger a breach of one or more of Council’s Debt Caps as detailed in Council’s operative financial strategy.

3.6 In general, the more significant an issue, the greater the need for community engagement.

3.7 The Council will apply a consistent and transparent approach to engagement.

3.8 Council is required to undertake the special consultative procedure as set out in Section 83 of the Local Government Act 2002, or to carry out consultation in accordance with or giving effect to Section 82 of the Local Government Act 2002 on certain matters (regardless of whether they are considered significant as part of this policy).

3.9 For all other issues requiring a decision, Council will determine the appropriate level of engagement on a case by case basis.

3.10 The Community Engagement Guide (attached) identifies the form of engagement Council may use to respond to some specific issues. It also provides examples of types of issues and how and when communities could expect to be engaged in the decision making process.

3.11 Joint Management Agreements, Memorandum of Understandings or any other similar high level agreement will be considered as a starting point when engaging with Māori and Iwi.

3.12 When Council makes a decision that is significantly inconsistent with this policy, the steps identified in Section 80 of the Local Government Act 2002 will be undertaken.
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Property of Hauraki District Council
Section 5 of the Local Government Act 2002 requires the following to be listed in this Policy:

(a) any asset or group of assets listed in accordance with section 76AA(3) by the local authority; and

(b) any land or building owned by the local authority and required to maintain the local authority's capacity to provide affordable housing as part of its social policy; and

(c) any equity securities held by the local authority in—
   i. a port company within the meaning of the Port Companies Act 1988
   ii. an airport company within the meaning of the Airport Authorities Act 1966

The following is a list of assets or group of assets that the council needs to retain if it is to maintain its capacity to achieve or promote any outcome that it determines to be important to the current or future well-being of the community.

**Hauraki District Council Strategic Assets:**

i. The Hauraki District Council roading network as a whole;
ii. The Hauraki District Council land drainage network as a whole;
iii. The Hauraki District Council wastewater network as a whole;
iv. The Hauraki District Council water network as a whole;
v. The Hauraki District Council urban stormwater network as a whole;
vi. Memorial halls in Ngatea, Paeroa and Waihi;
vii. Pensioner Housing.
SCHEDULE 2 – COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT GUIDE

Community engagement is a process, involves all or some of the public and is focussed on decision-making or problem-solving.

The International Association for Public Participation (IAP2) has developed a Public Participation Spectrum to demonstrate the possible types of engagement with the community. This model also shows the increasing level of public impact as you progress through the spectrum from left to right - ‘inform’ through to ‘empower’. In simply ‘informing’ stakeholders there is no expectation of receiving feedback, and consequently there is a low level of public impact. At the other end of the spectrum, ‘empowering’ stakeholders to make decisions implies an increase in expectations and therefore an increased level of public impact. Differing levels of engagement may be required during the varying phases of decision-making on an issue, and for different stakeholders. It will not always be appropriate or practicable to conduct processes at the ‘collaborate’ or ‘empower’ end of the spectrum. Many minor issues will not warrant such an involved approach. Time and money may also limit what is possible on some occasions.

In general, the more significant an issue, the greater the need for community engagement.

### Forms of engagement

The Council will use the Special Consultative Procedure (as set out in section 83 of the Local Government Act 2002 (LGA 2002)) where required to do so by law, including for the following issues requiring decisions:

- The adoption or amendment of a Long Term Plan (in accordance with section 93A of the LGA 2002)
- The adoption, amendment, or revocation of bylaws if required under section 156(1)(a) of the LGA 2002
- The adoption, amendment or revocation of a Local Alcohol Policy
- The adoption or review of a Local Approved Products (Psychoactive Substances) Policy
- The adoption or review of a Class 4 Venue Policy under the Gambling Act 2003
- The preparation, amendment or revocation of a Waste Management and Minimisation Plan

Unless already explicitly provided for in the Long Term Plan, the Council will seek to amend its Long Term Plan, and therefore use the Special Consultative Procedure, when it proposes to:

- alter significantly the intended level of service provision for any significant activity undertaken by or on behalf of Council, including commencing or ceasing such an activity; or
- transfer the ownership or control of strategic assets, as listed in Schedule 1.

The Council will consult in accordance with, or using a process or a manner that gives effect to the requirements of, section 82 of the LGA 2002 where required to do so by law, including for the following specific issues requiring decisions:

- Adopting or amending the annual plan if required under section 95 of the LGA 2002
- Transferring responsibilities to another local authority under section 17 of the LGA 2002
- Establishing or becoming a shareholder in a council-controlled organisation
- Adopting or amending a revenue and financing policy, development contributions policy, financial contributions policy, rates remission policy, rates postponement policy, or a policy on the remission or postponement of rate on Māori freehold land

For such consultation, Council will develop information fulfilling the requirements of Section 82A of the LGA 2002, will make this available to the public, allow written submissions for a period of up to 4 weeks, and will consider all submissions prior to making decisions.

For all other issues, the following table provides an example of the differing levels of engagement that might be considered appropriate, the types of tools associated with each level and the timing generally associated with these types of decisions/levels of engagement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Inform</th>
<th>Consult</th>
<th>Involve</th>
<th>Collaborate</th>
<th>Empower</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>What does it involve</td>
<td>One-way communication providing balanced and objective information to assist understanding about something that is going to happen or has happened.</td>
<td>Two-way communications designed to obtain public feedback about ideas on rationale, alternatives and proposals to inform decision making.</td>
<td>Participatory process designed to help identify issues and views to ensure that concerns and aspirations are understood and considered prior to decision-making.</td>
<td>Working together to develop understanding of all issues and interests to work out alternatives and identify preferred solutions.</td>
<td>The final decision making is in the hands of the public. Under the LGA 2002, the Mayor and Councillors are elected to make decisions on behalf of their constituents.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Types of issues that we might use this for</td>
<td>Hauraki Water Restrictions</td>
<td>Hauraki Long Term Plan</td>
<td>Draft Hauraki District Plan Pre-Consultation</td>
<td>Hauraki Rail Trail Build</td>
<td>Election voting systems (MMP, STV or first past the post)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tools Council might use</td>
<td>Websites/Social Media Information flyer Public notices</td>
<td>Through SCP seek formal submissions and hearings, focus groups, phone surveys, surveys.</td>
<td>Workshops Focus groups Citizens Panel Online Surveys</td>
<td>External working groups (involving community experts)</td>
<td>Binding referendum Local body elections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When the community can expect to be involved</td>
<td>Council would generally advise the community once a decision is made</td>
<td>Council would advise the community once a draft decision is made Council and would generally provide the community with not less than 4 weeks to participate and respond.</td>
<td>Council would generally provide the community with a more informal and longer lead in time to allow them time to be involved in the process.</td>
<td>Council would generally involve the community at the start to scope the issue, again after information has been collected and again when options are being considered.</td>
<td>Council would generally provide the community with a greater lead in time to allow them time to be involved in the process. e.g. typically a month or more.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Engagement tools and techniques**

Over the time of decision making, Council may use a variety of engagement techniques on any issue or proposal based on a range of other factors, including history and public awareness of the issue, stakeholder involvement, and timing related to other events and budgets. Council will also take into consideration that the community can feel 'over consulted'. Each situation will be assessed on a case-by-case basis.